

Super coinvariants beyond type A

Joshua P. Swanson

University of Southern California (USC), Los Angeles

Based partly on joint work with

Nolan Wallach

arXiv: 2001.06076, 2109.03407

and *Bruce Sagan*

arXiv: 2205.14078

Slides: https://www.jpswanson.org/talks/2023_AMS_GIT_Coinvariants.pdf

Presented at the AMS Special Session on Macdonald Theory
at Georgia Institute of Technology
March 19th, 2023

Outline

I] Super coinvariant algebras of complex reflection groups

II] Semi-invariants, degree bounds, and operator conjectures

III] Coxeter-like complexes

Super Coinvariant Algebras

• Superspace is $\mathbb{Q}[x_1, \dots, x_n, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_n]$ where $\theta_i \theta_j = -\theta_j \theta_i$ anti-commute
 $\text{Sym}(x_1, \dots, x_n) \otimes \Lambda(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n)$ (and $x_i \theta_j = \theta_j x_i, x_i x_j = x_j x_i$)

• S_n acts diagonally: $\sigma(x_i) = x_{\sigma(i)}, \sigma(\theta_i) = \theta_{\sigma(i)}$

• Think of θ variables as differential forms $\theta_i = dx_i$,
 $\theta_i \theta_j = dx_i \wedge dx_j$

Super Coinvariant Algebras

• The exterior derivative is

$$d = \sum_{i=1}^n \partial_{x_i} dx_i \in \text{End}(\mathbb{Q}[x_n, dx_n])$$

Thm (Solomon)

$$\langle \mathbb{Q}[x_n, dx_n]_+^{S_n} \rangle = \langle e_1, \dots, e_n, de_1, \dots, de_n \rangle$$

Super Coinvariant Algebras

Def The super coinvariant algebra of S_n is

$$\underline{SR}_n = \mathbb{Q}[x_n, \theta_n] / \langle \mathbb{Q}[x_n, \theta_n]^{S_n} \rangle.$$

Thm (Conjectured by Zabrocki '19 and Fields Group;
proved by Wilson-Rhoades '23+)

$$\text{Hilb}(SR_n; q, z) = \sum_{k=1}^n [k]! \underbrace{S[n, k]}_{q\text{-Stirlings of the second kind}} z^{n-k}$$

q -Stirlings of the second kind

Complex Reflection Groups

Def A complex reflection group is a finite subgroup of $GL(\mathbb{C}^n)$ generated by pseudoreflections g s.t. $\text{codim}(\text{fix}(g))=1$ and $\exists m$ s.t. $g^m=1$.

Thm (Shephard-Todd '54)

The irreducible complex reflection groups fall into one infinite family plus 34 exceptional groups.

Complex Reflection Groups

- Ex | $S_n =$ permutation matrices $(n \geq 1)$
- $B_n =$ signed permutation matrices
- $D_n =$ signed permutation matrices with evenly many -1 's
- $G(m, l, n) =$ pseudopermutation matrices with $(m \geq 1)$
non-zero entries $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}$ s.t. $\zeta^m = 1$
- $G(m, p, n) =$ index p subgroup of $G(m, l, n)$ $(p | m)$
s.t. $(\prod \zeta_i)^{\frac{n}{p}} = 1$

Note | $S_n = G(1, 1, n)$ $B_n = G(2, 1, n)$ $D_n = G(2, 2, n)$

G-Super Coinvariant Algebras

Def The super coinvariant algebra of G is

$$SR_G = \underbrace{(\mathbb{C}[x_n, \theta_n])}_{\text{natural } G\text{-action}} / \langle \mathbb{C}[x_n, \theta_n]_+^G \rangle.$$

on $\text{Sym}(\mathbb{C}^n)^* \otimes \text{Ext}(\mathbb{C}^n)^*$ bi-graded

Q What is the bigraded irreducible decomposition of SR_G ?

Exactness Works!

Thm (Wallach - S. '21)

The exterior derivative complex of SR_G ,

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \underbrace{SR_G^0}_{=R_G} \xrightarrow{d} \underbrace{SR_G^1}_{\theta\text{-degree 1}} \xrightarrow{d} \dots \xrightarrow{d} SR_G^r \rightarrow 0,$$

is exact.

Cor $\text{Hilb}(SR_G; q, -q) = \sum_{k=0}^r (-q)^{r-k} \text{Hilb}(SR_G^k; q) = 1$

G -semi-invariants

Def Let $\chi: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ be a 1-dimensional character of G .

The χ -semi-invariants of a G -module V are

$$V^\chi = \{v \in V : g \cdot v = \chi(g)v\}.$$

Ex • $\chi(g) = 1, \forall g \in G$ recovers the G -invariants V^G

• $\chi(\sigma) = \text{sgn}(\sigma)$ in type A with $V = \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$

recovers the alternating polynomials

• $\chi(g) = \det_{\mathbb{C}}(g)$ or $\chi(g) = \det_{\mathbb{C}}(g)^j$ for fixed j

G -semi-invariants

Fact For all G and χ , there is a Vandermonde Δ_χ s.t.

$$[[x_n]]^\chi = [[x_n]]^G \Delta_\chi.$$

- Let $\Delta_G = \Delta_{\det(\mathbb{C}^n)}$. We have $\Delta_\chi \mid \Delta_G$.

Thm (Wallach - S. '21) Suppose $(\mathbb{C}^n)^G = 0$.

Then
$$\text{Hilb}(SR_G^{\det}; q, z) = q^a \prod_{i=1}^n (z + q^{e_i^*})$$

- Comes with an explicit basis built from Δ_G "top-down"
- Holds in more generality than stated here.

Degree Bounds

Thm (Wallach-S. '23+) For $G(m, 1, n)$,

$$\underbrace{SR_{G(m, 1, n)}^{i, k}}_{\substack{\kappa\text{-degree } i \\ \theta\text{-degree } k}} \neq 0 \iff i + k + m \binom{k}{2} \leq m \binom{n}{2} + (m-1)n$$

Thm (Wallach-S. '23+) For $G(m, p, n)$ with $p \neq m$ or $p = 1$,

$$\bigoplus_{i+k=l} SR_{G(m, p, n)}^{i, k} \neq 0 \iff 0 \leq l \leq m \binom{n}{2} + n \left(\frac{m}{p} - 1 \right)$$

• Conjectured to hold for all G , where RHS is $\deg \Delta_G$.

G-Super Operator Conjecture

- There is a natural notion of G-super harmonics SH_G . These are canonical coset representatives of SR_G . See Wallach-S. '21 for details.

- The SH_G are preserved by partial derivatives and generalized exterior derivatives d_1, \dots, d_r where d_i lowers x -degree by e_i^* and raises θ -degree by 1.

G-Super Operator Conjecture

Conj (Wallach-S. '23+) Let $G = G(m, l, n)$.

Then

$$\text{SH}_G = \text{Span} \{ \partial_f d_{i_1} \cdots d_{i_k} \Delta_G : f \in \mathbb{C}[[x_n]], 1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_k \leq r \}$$

- Note
- Proven for $G = S_n$ by Wilson-Rhoades '23+
 - Proof technique likely works in general.
 - True for rank 2 reflection groups and H_3 .
 - Fails for F_4, D_4, D_5 , and all $G(m, p, n)$ aside from $G(m, l, n)$ (or maybe $D_n, n \geq 6$)

Coxeter Complexes

Conj (Fields Group)

Forgetting the k -grading,

$SR_n \cong$ the type A Coxeter complex

↑
up to a small twist

as S_n -modules.

- Recall that every reflection group G has a Coxeter complex.

Coxeter Complexes

Q True for all such G ?

A • Sadly, no. Fails for (at least) F_4

• Conjectured to hold for A_n, B_n , possibly D_n

Note | See Sagan-S. for combinatorics inspired by this conjecture: type B q -Stirlings and beyond

Beyond Coxeter Complexes

- Coxeter complexes are generalized by the Milnor fiber complex of a Shephard group.
- Coxeter complexes are topologically spheres, and Milnor fiber complexes are wedges of spheres.
- However, $\text{Hilb}(SR_{G, q, -q}) = 1$ suggests any complex associated to G is a sphere, even for $G(m, 1, n)$!

Beyond Coxeter Complexes

- Haglund-Rhoades-Shimozono '18 introduced generalized coinvariant algebras $R_{n,k}$, which conjecturally correspond to SK_n^{n-k} . They are provably governed by the combinatorics of the Coxeter complex.
- Chan-Rhoades '20 introduced $G(m,1,n)$ -generalized coinvariant algebras $R_{n,k}^{(m)}$. They are provably governed by related combinatorics.

Beyond Coxeter Complexes

• In Sagan-S., we let

$$S_{\mathbb{R}}^0[m, n, k] = [k][2k] \cdots [mk] h_{n-k}([1], [m+1], \dots, [km+1]).$$

• We show

and

$$\text{Hilb}(R_{n,k}^{(m)}; q) = \text{rev}_q(S_{\mathbb{R}}^0[m, n, k])$$

$$\sum_k (-q^{m-1})^{n-k} S_{\mathbb{R}}^0[m, n, k] = [m-1]^n \quad (m > 1).$$

• This is consistent with a wedge of $m-1$ spheres.

Beyond Coxeter Complexes

• In Sagan-S., we also let

$$\bar{\zeta}^0[m, n, k] = [k][2k] \cdots [mk] h_{n-k}([m-1], [2m-1], \dots, [(k+1)m-1]).$$

• We conjecture

$$\text{Hilb}(SR_{G(m,1,n)}^{nk}; q) = \bar{\zeta}^0[m, n, k]$$

and we show, for $m > 1$,

$$\sum_k (tq)^{nk} \bar{\zeta}^0[m, n, k] = 1.$$

• This is consistent with a topological sphere.

Beyond Coxeter Complexes

- This suggests a bifurcation, even for $G(m, l, n)$:

| <u>Super coinvariants</u> | <u>Generalized coinvariants</u> |
|---|---------------------------------------|
| Super $G(m, l, n)$ - ordered set partition | Chan-Rhoades ordered set partition |
| Simplicial spheres | Wedges of spheres |
| <u>(Ongoing research!)</u> | Coxeter/Milnor fiber complexes |

agree for $m \leq 2$, disagree
for $m \geq 3$!



Sorry I couldn't be in Georgia!