

A GENTLE INTRODUCTION TO COINVARIANT ALGEBRAS

JOSH SWANSON

ABSTRACT. These notes were for a lecture given in the informal post-doc seminar at the University of California, San Diego on November 12th, 2019.

CONTENTS

1. Symmetric polynomials	1
2. Classical invariant theory	2
3. Coinvariant algebras	3

1. SYMMETRIC POLYNOMIALS

Definition 1.1. The *symmetric group* S_n is the group of bijections on $\{1, \dots, n\}$. The symmetric group acts on the polynomial ring $\mathbb{Q}[\mathbf{x}_n] := \mathbb{Q}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ by

$$\sigma(x_i) := x_{\sigma(i)}.$$

The S_n -invariants of $\mathbb{Q}[\mathbf{x}_n]$ are the *symmetric polynomials*.

Example 1.2. If we wanted to come up with many examples of symmetric polynomials, we would quickly stumble upon the idea of “symmetrizing”:

$$f \mapsto \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} f.$$

For example, $x_1 \in \mathbb{Q}[\mathbf{x}_3] \mapsto 2(x_1 + x_2 + x_3)$.

Definition 1.3. Symmetrizing the monomial $x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_n^{\alpha_n}$ yields (up to a scale factor) the *monomial symmetric polynomial*

$$m_\alpha := \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} x_1^{\alpha_{\sigma(1)}} \cdots x_n^{\alpha_{\sigma(n)}}.$$

Note that m_α has degree $d := \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n$. We may as well assume $\alpha_1 \geq \alpha_2 \geq \cdots \geq \alpha_n \geq 0$. Such a sequence is called an *integer partition* of d of length at most n , written $\alpha \vdash d$ with $\ell(\alpha) \leq n$.

Remark 1.4. It is quite straightforward to see that $\{m_\alpha : \alpha \text{ is a partition of length } n\}$ is a \mathbb{Q} -basis for $\mathbb{Q}[\mathbf{x}_n]^{S_n}$.

Question 1.5. “How many” symmetric polynomials are there? “What fraction” of polynomials are symmetric?

Definition 1.6. Let $W = \bigoplus_{j=0}^{\infty} W_j$ be a graded vector space with $\dim W_j < \infty$. The *Hilbert series* of W is

$$\text{Hilb}(W; q) := \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} q^j \dim W_j.$$

Example 1.7. We have

$$\text{Hilb}(\mathbb{Q}[x]; q) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} q^j = \frac{1}{1-q}.$$

One may check that Hilbert series multiply over tensor products, so for instance

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hilb}(\mathbb{Q}[\mathbf{x}_n]; q) &= \text{Hilb}(\mathbb{Q}[x_1] \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbb{Q}[x_n]; q) \\ &= \text{Hilb}(\mathbb{Q}[x_1]; q) \cdots \text{Hilb}(\mathbb{Q}[x_n]; q) \\ &= (1-q)^{-n}. \end{aligned}$$

(From the binomial series, it follows that $\dim \mathbb{Q}[\mathbf{x}_n]_d = (-1)^d \binom{-n}{d} = (-1)^d \frac{(-n)(-n-1)\cdots(-n-d+1)}{d!} = \binom{n+d-1}{d}$.)

Remark 1.8. What's $\text{Hilb}(\mathbb{Q}[\mathbf{x}_n]^{S_n}; q)$? How many integer partitions have a fixed degree? We would need to count

$$\{\alpha_1 \geq \cdots \geq \alpha_n \geq 0 : \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n = d\},$$

i.e. we would need to count the lattice points in a polytope. This should discourage us from expecting a completely explicit answer.

Remark 1.9. After working with partitions for any length of time, you'll stumble upon "exponential notation": $\alpha = 1^{e_1} 2^{e_2} \cdots$ where e_i is the number of times i appears in α . For instance, $4 \geq 2 \geq 2 \geq 1$ becomes $1^1 2^2 3^0 4^1 5^0 6^0 \cdots$. Note that $d = e_1 + 2e_2 + \cdots + ne_n$ is more complicated in exponential notation, but we've virtually removed the restrictions on the e_i 's. Inspiration strikes!

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hilb}(\mathbb{Q}[\mathbf{x}_n]^{S_n}; q) &= \sum_{d \geq 0} q^d \sum_{\substack{\alpha \vdash d \\ \ell(\alpha) \leq n}} 1 = \sum_{e_1, \dots, e_n \geq 0} q^{e_1 + 2e_2 + \cdots + ne_n} \\ &= \text{Hilb}(\mathbb{Q}[x_1, x_2^2, x_3^3, \dots, x_n^n]; q) = \text{Hilb}(\mathbb{Q}[x_1] \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbb{Q}[x_n^n]; q) \\ &= \text{Hilb}(\mathbb{Q}[x_1]; q) \cdots \text{Hilb}(\mathbb{Q}[x_n^n]; q) = (1-q)^{-1} (1-q^2)^{-1} \cdots (1-q^n)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

(From this, we can say the d th coefficient is a convolution of binomial coefficients, but not much more.)

Corollary 1.10. *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\text{Hilb}(\mathbb{Q}[\mathbf{x}_n]; q)}{\text{Hilb}(\mathbb{Q}[\mathbf{x}_n]^{S_n}; q)} &= \frac{1-q^n}{1-q} \frac{1-q^{n-1}}{1-q} \cdots \frac{1-q}{1-q} \\ &= (1+q+\cdots+q^{n-1})(1+q+\cdots+q^{n-2}) \cdots 1 =: [n]_q!. \end{aligned}$$

Taking $q \rightarrow 1$, we may heuristically conclude that $1/n!$ of polynomials in $\mathbb{Q}[\mathbf{x}_n]$ are actually symmetric.

2. CLASSICAL INVARIANT THEORY

We just saw $\mathbb{Q}[\mathbf{x}_n]^{S_n}$ has the same Hilbert series as the polynomial ring $\mathbb{Q}[x_1, x_2^2, \dots, x_n^n]$. The invariants are a \mathbb{Q} -algebra. Dare we hope they're actually freely generated? Yes!

Theorem 2.1 (Fundamental theorem of symmetric polynomials). *Every element of $\mathbb{Q}[\mathbf{x}_n]^{S_n}$ can be written uniquely as a polynomial in the power-sum symmetric polynomials $p_i(\mathbf{x}_n) := \sum_{j=1}^n x_j^i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$.*

(Most proofs rely on a variation of the idea of picking off a "leading monomial" m_α recursively.)

Question 2.2 ("First problem of invariant theory", late 1800's). *Let $G \leq \text{GL}(V)$ be a finite group acting naturally on $S(V) := \text{Sym}(V)$ for a finite-dimensional vector space V over a field k . If $S(V)^G$ finitely generated?*

(If V has basis x_1, \dots, x_n , we may identify $S(V)$ with the polynomial ring $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$.)

Hilbert famously solved this problem in 1890 and introduced Hilbert's Basis Theorem to do it! We'll sketch the argument now.

Definition 2.3. The *Reynolds operator* on $S(V)$ is

$$R(f) := \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{\sigma \in G} \sigma \cdot f.$$

Note that $R: S(V) \rightarrow S(V)^G$ is in fact an $S(V)^G$ -algebra morphism: if $f \in S(V)^G$ and $g \in S(V)$, then

$$R(fg) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{\sigma \in G} \sigma \cdot fg = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{\sigma \in G} (\sigma \cdot f)(\sigma \cdot g) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{\sigma \in G} f(\sigma \cdot g) = f \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{\sigma \in G} \sigma \cdot g = fR(g).$$

Definition 2.4. The *coinvariant ideal* of $S(V)$ is the ideal $S(V)_+^G$ generated by all homogeneous, non-constant G -invariants.

Lemma 2.5. Let $f_1, \dots, f_r \in S(V)^G$ be non-constant and homogeneous. Then $S(V)^G = k[f_1, \dots, f_r]$ if and only if $S(V)_+^G = \langle f_1, \dots, f_r \rangle$.

Proof. (\Rightarrow) If $S(V)^G = k[f_1, \dots, f_r]$, then we may replace every generator of $S(V)_+^G$ with a polynomial combination of f_1, \dots, f_r with non-constant coefficient, so $S(V)_+^G = \langle f_1, \dots, f_r \rangle$.

(\Leftarrow) Suppose $S(V)_+^G = \langle f_1, \dots, f_r \rangle$. Clearly $S(V)^G \supset k[f_1, \dots, f_r]$, so we must show the reverse containment. We do so by induction on the degree d , i.e. we show $S(V)_{\leq d}^G = k[f_1, \dots, f_r]_{\leq d}$. The base case $d = 0$ is trivial, so take $d > 0$ and suppose $S(V)_{< d}^G = k[f_1, \dots, f_r]_{< d}$. Pick $f \in S(V)^G$ homogeneous of degree d . Since $S(V)^G \subset S(V)_+^G = \langle f_1, \dots, f_r \rangle$,

$$f = f_1 s_1 + \dots + f_r s_r$$

for some homogeneous elements $s_1, \dots, s_r \in S(V)$ of degree $< d$. Apply the Reynolds operator to get

$$f = R(f) = f_1 R(s_1) + \dots + f_r R(s_r).$$

But $R(s_i) \in S(V)_{< d}^G = k[f_1, \dots, f_r]_{< d}$, so indeed $f \in k[f_1, \dots, f_r]$! □

Example 2.6. By the Fundamental Theorem of Symmetric Polynomials and the lemma, $\mathbb{Q}[x_1, \dots, x_n]_+^{S_n} = \langle p_1, \dots, p_n \rangle$.

Theorem 2.7 (Hilbert, 1890). $S(V)^G$ is finitely generated.

Proof. By Hilbert's Basis Theorem, the ideal $S(V)_+^G$ is finitely generated, and we may use a homogeneous, non-constant, G -invariant set of generators f_1, \dots, f_r . By the lemma, $S(V)^G = k[f_1, \dots, f_r]$. □

3. COINVARIANT ALGEBRAS

Question 3.1. Are the coinvariant ideals $S(V)_+^G$ or the corresponding quotients “interesting”?

Definition 3.2. The *classical coinvariant algebra* of G is $S(V)/S(V)_+^G$. This is a graded F -algebra and a graded G -module.

Example 3.3. The “original” coinvariant algebra is

$$\frac{\mathbb{Q}[x_1, \dots, x_n]}{\langle p_1, \dots, p_n \rangle}.$$

This is finite-dimensional. In fact, $x_i^n \in \langle p_1, \dots, p_n \rangle$! Here's a slick argument:

$$(t - x_1) \cdots (t - x_n) = t^n + (\text{lower order terms in } t \text{ whose coefficients in } \mathbb{Q}[x_1, \dots, x_n] \text{ are symmetric}).$$

Now let $t = x_i$, giving $x_i^n \in \langle p_1, \dots, p_n \rangle$. Thus $\dim \mathbb{Q}[x_1, \dots, x_n] / \langle p_1, \dots, p_n \rangle \leq n^n$.

Remark 3.4. Emil Artin gave a clever argument in his *Galois Theory* text which, when unwound, more generally shows that $h_r(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{Q}[\mathbf{x}_n]_+^{S_n}$, using the *complete homogeneous symmetric polynomials*. For our purposes, we only need to know that

$$h_r(x_1, \dots, x_n) = x_r^r + (\text{terms of lower } x_r\text{-degree}).$$

It follows that $\{x_1^{a_1} x_2^{a_2} \cdots x_n^{a_n} : 0 \leq a_i < i\}$ descends to a spanning set for the coinvariant algebra, giving a maximum dimension of $n!$ with a corresponding maximum (coefficient-wise) Hilbert series of $[n]_q!$.

Remark 3.5. Indeed, for quite generic reasons concerning regular sequences, it follows from the $[n]_q!$ upper bound and our calculations concerning the “fraction” of polynomials which are symmetric polynomials that

$$\{x_1^{a_1} x_2^{a_2} \cdots x_n^{a_n} : 0 \leq a_i < i\}$$

descends to a basis, called the *Artin basis*.

Question 3.6. *When is $S(V)^G$ free?*

Theorem 3.7 (Chevalley, Shephard–Todd, Serre). *Suppose $\text{char}(F) \nmid |G|$. Then $S(V)^G$ is a polynomial ring if and only if G is generated by pseudo-reflections, namely elements $\sigma \in \text{GL}(V)$ such that $\dim \ker(\sigma - I) = \dim(V) - 1$.*

Theorem 3.8 (Shephard–Todd). *There is an explicit classification of such G (in characteristic 0, at least), consisting of one infinite family $G(m, p, n)$ and 34 exceptional groups.*

Theorem 3.9 (Chevalley). *In this case, $S(V)/S(V)_+^G$ carries the regular representation of G and $S(V) \cong S(V)^G \otimes S(V)/S(V)_+^G$ as graded G -modules.*

Remark 3.10. $\mathbb{Q}[x_1, \dots, x_n]/\langle p_1, \dots, p_n \rangle$ is a *graded analogue* of the regular representation of $S_n!$ Much of my research recently has been motivated by understanding aspects of the graded irreducible decomposition of this and related quotients.

Theorem 3.11 (Borel). *The cohomology of the complete flag manifold $H^*(G/B, \mathbb{C})$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]/\langle p_1, \dots, p_n \rangle$.*

Remark 3.12. The $n!$ dimensions of the quotient are reflected by the $n!$ *Schubert varieties* comprising the Schubert cell decomposition of the complete flag manifold. The coinvariant algebra consequently has intimate connections to both topology (Borel–Moore homology) and algebraic geometry (Chow rings).

Theorem 3.13 (Lascoux–Schützenberger). *There is an explicitly defined set of polynomials called *Schubert polynomials* representing the classes of Schubert varieties in $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]/\langle p_1, \dots, p_n \rangle$. These polynomials have the remarkable property that they are “stable” as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in a natural sense.*