Cyclotomic generating function asymptotics CombinaTexas, March 24th, 2019

Joshua P. Swanson University of California, San Diego

Based on joint work with Sara Billey and Matjaž Konvalinka

Slides: http://www.math.ucsd.edu/~jswanson/talks/2019_ CombinaTexas.pdf

Outline

- Classical asymptotics
- Roots of unity and unit roots
- Cyclotomic generating functions
- maj on SYT(λ) limit law classification

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

- Cumulants
- Further limit laws

Theorem (de Moivre–Laplace: the O.G. C.L.T.) Let X_n be the number of heads after n fair coin tosses.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Theorem (de Moivre–Laplace: the O.G. C.L.T.) Let \mathcal{X}_n be the number of heads after n fair coin tosses. Then for $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\mathbb{P}\left[\frac{\mathcal{X}_n-n/2}{\sqrt{n/4}}\leq t\right]=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{-\infty}^t e^{-x^2}\,dx=\mathbb{P}[\mathcal{N}(0,1)\leq t]$$

Theorem (de Moivre–Laplace: the O.G. C.L.T.) Let \mathcal{X}_n be the number of heads after n fair coin tosses. Then for $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\mathbb{P}\left[\frac{\mathcal{X}_n-n/2}{\sqrt{n/4}}\leq t\right]=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{-\infty}^t e^{-x^2}\,dx=\mathbb{P}[\mathcal{N}(0,1)\leq t],$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

i.e. \mathcal{X}_n is asymptotically normal.

Theorem (de Moivre–Laplace: the O.G. C.L.T.) Let \mathcal{X}_n be the number of heads after n fair coin tosses. Then for $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\mathbb{P}\left[\frac{\mathcal{X}_n-n/2}{\sqrt{n/4}}\leq t\right]=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{-\infty}^t e^{-x^2}\,dx=\mathbb{P}[\mathcal{N}(0,1)\leq t],$$

i.e. \mathcal{X}_n is <u>asymptotically normal</u>. Note that size: $2^{[n]} \to \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ has the same distribution as \mathcal{X}_n and generating function

$$\sum_{S \subset [n]} q^{\mathsf{size}(S)} = (1+q)^n$$

with all real roots.

Given a statistic stat: $\mathcal{W} \to \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0},$ form the generating function

$$W^{ ext{stat}}(q)\coloneqq \sum_{w\in W}q^{ ext{stat}(w)} = \sum_{k\geq 0}c_kq^k.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ □臣 = のへで

Question

▶ What do the *c_k*'s look like for your favorite statistic?

Given a statistic stat: $W \to \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0},$ form the generating function

$$W^{ ext{stat}}(q)\coloneqq \sum_{w\in W}q^{ ext{stat}(w)} = \sum_{k\geq 0}c_kq^k.$$

Question

- ▶ What do the *c_k*'s look like for your favorite statistic?
- What's the distribution of stat where W is sampled uniformly?

Theorem (Bender '73, Harper '67)

Suppose $\mathcal{X}_1, \mathcal{X}_2, \ldots$ are random variables where $\mathbb{E}[q^{\mathcal{X}_n}] \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}[q]$ has all real roots.

Theorem (Bender '73, Harper '67)

Suppose $\mathcal{X}_1, \mathcal{X}_2, \ldots$ are random variables where $\mathbb{E}[q^{\mathcal{X}_n}] \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}[q]$ has all real roots. Then \mathcal{X}_n is asymptotically normal if and only if $\sigma_n \to \infty$.

Theorem (Bender '73, Harper '67)

Suppose $\mathcal{X}_1, \mathcal{X}_2, \ldots$ are random variables where $\mathbb{E}[q^{\mathcal{X}_n}] \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}[q]$ has all real roots. Then \mathcal{X}_n is asymptotically normal if and only if $\sigma_n \to \infty$.

Example

If \mathcal{X}_n is size on $2^{[n]}$, then $\mathbb{E}[q^{\mathcal{X}_n}] = \frac{1}{2^n}(1+q)^n$ and $\sigma_n^2 = n/4 \to \infty$.

Theorem (Bender '73, Harper '67)

Suppose $\mathcal{X}_1, \mathcal{X}_2, \ldots$ are random variables where $\mathbb{E}[q^{\mathcal{X}_n}] \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}[q]$ has all real roots. Then \mathcal{X}_n is asymptotically normal if and only if $\sigma_n \to \infty$.

Example

If \mathcal{X}_n is size on $2^{[n]}$, then $\mathbb{E}[q^{\mathcal{X}_n}] = \frac{1}{2^n}(1+q)^n$ and $\sigma_n^2 = n/4 \to \infty$.

Example

Number of blocks on set partitions of [n] (i.e. Stirling numbers of the second kind).

Theorem (Bender '73, Harper '67)

Suppose $\mathcal{X}_1, \mathcal{X}_2, \ldots$ are random variables where $\mathbb{E}[q^{\mathcal{X}_n}] \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}[q]$ has all real roots. Then \mathcal{X}_n is asymptotically normal if and only if $\sigma_n \to \infty$.

Example

If \mathcal{X}_n is size on $2^{[n]}$, then $\mathbb{E}[q^{\mathcal{X}_n}] = \frac{1}{2^n}(1+q)^n$ and $\sigma_n^2 = n/4 \to \infty$.

Example

- ► Number of blocks on set partitions of [n] (i.e. Stirling numbers of the second kind).
- ► Number of descents in permutations of S_n (Eulerian numbers, Eulerian statistics).

But wait! My favorite statistic is inv on permutations!

But wait! My favorite statistic is inv on permutations!

Definition For $w = w_1 \cdots w_n \in S_n$, let

$$\operatorname{inv}(w) \coloneqq \#\{i < j : w_i > w_j\}.$$

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) の(の)

But wait! My favorite statistic is inv on permutations!

Definition For $w = w_1 \cdots w_n \in S_n$, let $:= \dots (w_i) := \dots (w_i > w_i)$

$$\operatorname{inv}(w) \coloneqq \#\{i < j : w_i > w_j\}$$

Theorem (Classical)

$$S_n^{\text{inv}}(q) = \sum_{w \in S_n} q^{\text{inv}(w)} = [n]_q! = [n]_q[n-1]_q \cdots [1]_q$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

where $[c]_q := 1 + q + \dots + q^{c-1}$.

But wait! My favorite statistic is inv on permutations!

Definition For $w = w_1 \cdots w_n \in S_n$, let $inv(w) := \#\{i < j : w_i > w_i\}.$

Theorem (Classical)

$$S_n^{\text{inv}}(q) = \sum_{w \in S_n} q^{\text{inv}(w)} = [n]_q! = [n]_q [n-1]_q \cdots [1]_q$$

where $[c]_q := 1 + q + \dots + q^{c-1}$.

Since $[c]_q = (1 - q^c)/(1 - q)$, the roots are all *roots of unity* and are almost never real.

Theorem (Hwang–Zacharovas '15)

Suppose $\mathcal{X}_1, \mathcal{X}_2, \ldots$ have $\mathbb{E}[q^{\mathcal{X}_n}] \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}[q]$ with all roots on the unit circle $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$.

Theorem (Hwang–Zacharovas '15)

Suppose $\mathcal{X}_1, \mathcal{X}_2, \ldots$ have $\mathbb{E}[q^{\mathcal{X}_n}] \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}[q]$ with all roots on the unit circle $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$. Then \mathcal{X}_n is asymptotically normal if and only if $\kappa_4/\sigma^4 \to 0$.

Theorem (Hwang–Zacharovas '15)

Suppose $\mathcal{X}_1, \mathcal{X}_2, \ldots$ have $\mathbb{E}[q^{\mathcal{X}_n}] \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}[q]$ with all roots on the unit circle $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$. Then \mathcal{X}_n is asymptotically normal if and only if $\kappa_4/\sigma^4 \to 0$.

Example

For inv on S_n , it turns out that

$$\kappa_4/\sigma^4 = -rac{36}{25}rac{31+31n+21n^2+6n^3}{n(n-1)(2n+5)^2} pprox -1/n
ightarrow 0,$$

so inv is asymptotically normal (as are all Mahonian statistics).

Theorem (Hwang–Zacharovas '15)

Suppose $\mathcal{X}_1, \mathcal{X}_2, \ldots$ have $\mathbb{E}[q^{\mathcal{X}_n}] \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}[q]$ with all roots on the unit circle $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$. Then \mathcal{X}_n is asymptotically normal if and only if $\kappa_4/\sigma^4 \to 0$.

Example

For inv on S_n , it turns out that

$$\kappa_4/\sigma^4 = -rac{36}{25}rac{31+31n+21n^2+6n^3}{n(n-1)(2n+5)^2} pprox -1/n
ightarrow 0,$$

so inv is asymptotically normal (as are all Mahonian statistics). (This is usually attributed to Feller. It is also implicit in older probability literature on τ -tests.)

The real-rooted case is very nice:

▶ No internal zeros $(c_{k-1}, c_{k+1} \neq 0 \Rightarrow c_k \neq 0)$.

The real-rooted case is very nice:

- No internal zeros $(c_{k-1}, c_{k+1} \neq 0 \Rightarrow c_k \neq 0)$.
- Unimodal coefficients ($c_0 \leq c_1 \leq \cdots \leq c_m \geq c_{m+1} \geq \cdots$)

The real-rooted case is very nice:

- ▶ No internal zeros $(c_{k-1}, c_{k+1} \neq 0 \Rightarrow c_k \neq 0)$.
- Unimodal coefficients ($c_0 \leq c_1 \leq \cdots \leq c_m \geq c_{m+1} \geq \cdots$)

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

▶ Log-concave coefficients (c²_k ≥ c_{k-1}c_{k+1})

The real-rooted case is very nice:

- ▶ No internal zeros $(c_{k-1}, c_{k+1} \neq 0 \Rightarrow c_k \neq 0)$.
- Unimodal coefficients ($c_0 \leq c_1 \leq \cdots \leq c_m \geq c_{m+1} \geq \cdots$)
- Log-concave coefficients $(c_k^2 \ge c_{k-1}c_{k+1})$
- Ultra log-concave coefficients: Newton's inequalities say

$$\left(\frac{c_k}{\binom{n}{k}}\right)^2 \geq \frac{c_{k-1}}{\binom{n}{k-1}}\frac{c_{k+1}}{\binom{n}{k+1}}$$

The real-rooted case is very nice:

- ▶ No internal zeros $(c_{k-1}, c_{k+1} \neq 0 \Rightarrow c_k \neq 0)$.
- Unimodal coefficients ($c_0 \le c_1 \le \cdots \le c_m \ge c_{m+1} \ge \cdots$)
- Log-concave coefficients $(c_k^2 \ge c_{k-1}c_{k+1})$
- Ultra log-concave coefficients: Newton's inequalities say

$$\left(\frac{c_k}{\binom{n}{k}}\right)^2 \geq \frac{c_{k-1}}{\binom{n}{k-1}}\frac{c_{k+1}}{\binom{n}{k+1}}.$$

The unit root case is more varied:

 Can have internal zeros, though they usually don't (see arXiv:1809.07386)

The real-rooted case is very nice:

- ▶ No internal zeros $(c_{k-1}, c_{k+1} \neq 0 \Rightarrow c_k \neq 0)$.
- Unimodal coefficients ($c_0 \le c_1 \le \cdots \le c_m \ge c_{m+1} \ge \cdots$)
- Log-concave coefficients $(c_k^2 \ge c_{k-1}c_{k+1})$
- Ultra log-concave coefficients: Newton's inequalities say

$$\left(\frac{c_k}{\binom{n}{k}}\right)^2 \geq \frac{c_{k-1}}{\binom{n}{k-1}}\frac{c_{k+1}}{\binom{n}{k+1}}.$$

The unit root case is more varied:

- Can have internal zeros, though they usually don't (see arXiv:1809.07386)
- Need not be unimodal, though they often are (e.g. *q*-binomials)

The real-rooted case is very nice:

- ▶ No internal zeros $(c_{k-1}, c_{k+1} \neq 0 \Rightarrow c_k \neq 0)$.
- Unimodal coefficients ($c_0 \le c_1 \le \cdots \le c_m \ge c_{m+1} \ge \cdots$)
- Log-concave coefficients $(c_k^2 \ge c_{k-1}c_{k+1})$
- Ultra log-concave coefficients: Newton's inequalities say

$$\left(\frac{c_k}{\binom{n}{k}}\right)^2 \geq \frac{c_{k-1}}{\binom{n}{k-1}}\frac{c_{k+1}}{\binom{n}{k+1}}.$$

The unit root case is more varied:

- Can have internal zeros, though they usually don't (see arXiv:1809.07386)
- Need not be unimodal, though they often are (e.g. *q*-binomials)
- Even less likely to be log-concave or ultra log-concave (though related γ-expansions are both!)

Definition (Billey–Konvalinka–S.)

A cyclotomic generating function is a polynomial of the form

$$f(q) = lpha q^{eta} \prod_{k=1}^m rac{[a_k]_q}{[b_k]_q} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}[q]$$

for multisets $\{a_1, \ldots, a_m\}$ and $\{b_1, \ldots, b_m\}$ of positive integers and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

Definition (Billey–Konvalinka–S.)

A cyclotomic generating function is a polynomial of the form

$$f(q) = lpha q^{eta} \prod_{k=1}^m rac{[a_k]_q}{[b_k]_q} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}[q]$$

for multisets $\{a_1, \ldots, a_m\}$ and $\{b_1, \ldots, b_m\}$ of positive integers and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

Example

• inv on S_n

Definition (Billey–Konvalinka–S.)

A cyclotomic generating function is a polynomial of the form

$$f(q) = lpha q^{eta} \prod_{k=1}^m rac{[a_k]_q}{[b_k]_q} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}[q]$$

for multisets $\{a_1, \ldots, a_m\}$ and $\{b_1, \ldots, b_m\}$ of positive integers and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

Example

▶ inv on S_n ▶ sum on $\binom{[n]}{k}$.

Definition (Billey–Konvalinka–S.)

A cyclotomic generating function is a polynomial of the form

$$f(q) = lpha q^{eta} \prod_{k=1}^m rac{[a_k]_q}{[b_k]_q} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}[q]$$

for multisets $\{a_1, \ldots, a_m\}$ and $\{b_1, \ldots, b_m\}$ of positive integers and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

Example

- inv on S_n
- sum on $\binom{[n]}{k}$.
- area on Dyck paths
- maj on SYT(λ)

Definition (Billey–Konvalinka–S.)

A cyclotomic generating function is a polynomial of the form

$$f(q) = lpha q^{eta} \prod_{k=1}^m rac{[a_k]_q}{[b_k]_q} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}[q]$$

for multisets $\{a_1, \ldots, a_m\}$ and $\{b_1, \ldots, b_m\}$ of positive integers and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

Example

- inv on S_n
- sum on $\binom{[n]}{k}$.
- area on Dyck paths
- maj on SYT(λ)
- ► rank on SSYT_{≤m}(λ)

Cyclotomic generating functions capture the "combinatorially interesting" case of unit-root generating functions in the following sense:

Cyclotomic generating functions capture the "combinatorially interesting" case of unit-root generating functions in the following sense:

Lemma (Kronecker, 1857)

If $f \in \mathbb{Z}[q]$ is monic and all complex roots z of f have $|z| \leq 1$, then all roots are roots of unity or 0.

Cyclotomic generating functions capture the "combinatorially interesting" case of unit-root generating functions in the following sense:

Lemma (Kronecker, 1857)

If $f \in \mathbb{Z}[q]$ is monic and all complex roots z of f have $|z| \leq 1$, then all roots are roots of unity or 0.

They are also a particularly nice family of random variables. For instance, the characteristic functions are piecewise log-concave, and log $\mathbb{E}[e^{it\mathcal{X}^*}]$ always converges in a complex neighborhood of 0 of radius at least $2\pi\sqrt{1/\zeta(2) - 1/4} \approx 3.76...$
Lemma For $f(q) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}[q]$, TFAE: (i) (Rational form.) f is a cyclotomic generating function, i.e.

▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()

$$f(q) = \alpha q^{\beta} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{m} \frac{[a_j]_q}{[b_j]_q}$$

Lemma For $f(q) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}[q]$, TFAE: (i) (Rational form.) f is a cyclotomic generating function, i.e.

$$f(q) = \alpha q^{\beta} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{m} \frac{[a_j]_q}{[b_j]_q} = \alpha q^{\beta} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{m} \frac{1 - q^{a_j}}{1 - q^{b_j}}$$

▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()

Lemma For $f(q) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}[q]$, TFAE: (i) (Rational form.) f is a cyclotomic generating function, i.e.

$$f(q) = \alpha q^{\beta} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{m} \frac{[a_j]_q}{[b_j]_q} = \alpha q^{\beta} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{m} \frac{1 - q^{a_j}}{1 - q^{b_j}}$$

(ii) (Cyclotomic form.) f is a product of cyclotomic polynomials and αq^{β} .

Lemma For $f(q) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}[q]$, TFAE: (i) (Rational form.) f is a cyclotomic generating function, i.e.

$$f(q) = \alpha q^{\beta} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{m} \frac{[a_j]_q}{[b_j]_q} = \alpha q^{\beta} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{m} \frac{1 - q^{a_j}}{1 - q^{b_j}}$$

- (ii) (Cyclotomic form.) f is a product of cyclotomic polynomials and αq^{β} .
- (iii) (Complex form.) The complex roots of f are each either a root of unity or zero.

Lemma For $f(q) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}[q]$, TFAE: (i) (Rational form.) f is a cyclotomic generating function, i.e.

$$f(q) = \alpha q^{\beta} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{m} \frac{[a_j]_q}{[b_j]_q} = \alpha q^{\beta} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{m} \frac{1 - q^{a_j}}{1 - q^{b_j}}$$

- (ii) (Cyclotomic form.) f is a product of cyclotomic polynomials and αq^{β} .
- (iii) (Complex form.) The complex roots of f are each either a root of unity or zero.

Moreover, the factorization in (i) is unique if the multisets are disjoint and $f \neq 0$.

Question

Are the possible limit laws for cyclotomic generating function coefficients more varied than real-rooted ones?

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Question

Are the possible limit laws for cyclotomic generating function coefficients more varied than real-rooted ones?

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Yes!

maj on SYT(λ) limit law classification

Definition Let $aft(\lambda) \coloneqq |\lambda| - max\{\lambda_1, \lambda_1'\}$ and let

 $\mathcal{IH}_M \coloneqq \mathcal{U}[0,1] + \cdots + \mathcal{U}[0,1]$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

be the Mth Irwin-Hall distribution.

maj on SYT(λ) limit law classification

Definition Let $aft(\lambda) := |\lambda| - max\{\lambda_1, \lambda_1'\}$ and let

 $\mathcal{IH}_M \coloneqq \mathcal{U}[0,1] + \cdots + \mathcal{U}[0,1]$

be the Mth Irwin-Hall distribution.

Theorem (Billey–Konvalinka–S. '19) Let $\lambda^{(1)}, \lambda^{(2)}, \ldots$ be a sequence of partitions. Let \mathcal{X}_n denote the major index statistic on standard tableaux of shape $\lambda^{(n)}$ sampled uniformly, and let $\mathcal{X}_n^* \coloneqq (\mathcal{X}_n - \mu_n)/\sigma_n$.

maj on SYT(λ) limit law classification

Definition Let $\operatorname{aft}(\lambda) := |\lambda| - \max\{\lambda_1, \lambda_1'\}$ and let

$$\mathcal{IH}_M \coloneqq \mathcal{U}[0,1] + \cdots + \mathcal{U}[0,1]$$

be the Mth Irwin-Hall distribution.

Theorem (Billey–Konvalinka–S. '19)

Let $\lambda^{(1)}, \lambda^{(2)}, \ldots$ be a sequence of partitions. Let \mathcal{X}_n denote the major index statistic on standard tableaux of shape $\lambda^{(n)}$ sampled uniformly, and let $\mathcal{X}_n^* := (\mathcal{X}_n - \mu_n)/\sigma_n$. Then \mathcal{X}_n^* converges in distribution if and only if

(i)
$$\operatorname{aft}(\lambda^{(n)}) \to \infty$$
; or
(ii) $|\lambda^{(n)}| \to \infty$ and $\operatorname{aft}(\lambda^{(n)}) \to M < \infty$; or
(iii) the distribution of $\mathcal{X}^*_{\lambda^{(n)}}[\operatorname{maj}]$ is eventually constant.
The limit law is $\mathcal{N}(0,1)$ in case (i), \mathcal{IH}^*_M in case (ii), and discrete
in case (iii).

Question

How do you compute σ and κ_4 for a cyclotomic generating function?

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Question

How do you compute σ and κ_4 for a cyclotomic generating function? ...what is κ_4 ?

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Question

How do you compute σ and κ_4 for a cyclotomic generating function? ...what is $\kappa_4?$

Definition

Let

 $\mu_{d} \coloneqq \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{X}^{d}]$

Question

How do you compute σ and κ_4 for a cyclotomic generating function? ...what is $\kappa_4?$

Definition

Let

$$\mu_d \coloneqq \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{X}^d] \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_d \coloneqq \mathbb{E}[(\mathcal{X} - \mu)^d].$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Question

How do you compute σ and κ_4 for a cyclotomic generating function? ...what is $\kappa_4?$

Definition

Let

$$\mu_d \coloneqq \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{X}^d]$$
 and $\alpha_d \coloneqq \mathbb{E}[(\mathcal{X} - \mu)^d].$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

The moment-generating function is

$$\sum_{d=0}^{\infty} \mu_d \frac{t^d}{d!} = \mathbb{E}[e^{t\mathcal{X}}]$$

Question

How do you compute σ and κ_4 for a cyclotomic generating function? ...what is $\kappa_4?$

Definition

Let

$$\mu_d \coloneqq \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{X}^d] \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_d \coloneqq \mathbb{E}[(\mathcal{X} - \mu)^d].$$

The moment-generating function is

$$\sum_{d=0}^{\infty} \mu_d \frac{t^d}{d!} = \mathbb{E}[e^{t\mathcal{X}}] = \frac{1}{|W|} W^{\mathsf{stat}}(e^t).$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Question

How do you compute σ and κ_4 for a cyclotomic generating function? ...what is $\kappa_4?$

Definition

Let

$$\mu_d \coloneqq \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{X}^d] \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_d \coloneqq \mathbb{E}[(\mathcal{X} - \mu)^d].$$

The moment-generating function is

$$\sum_{d=0}^{\infty} \mu_d \frac{t^d}{d!} = \mathbb{E}[e^{t\mathcal{X}}] = \frac{1}{|W|} W^{\mathsf{stat}}(e^t).$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

The *cumulants* κ_d of \mathcal{X} are defined by

$$\sum_{d=1}^{\infty} \kappa_d \frac{t^d}{d!} \coloneqq \log \mathbb{E}[e^{t\mathcal{X}}]$$

Question

How do you compute σ and κ_4 for a cyclotomic generating function? ...what is $\kappa_4?$

Definition

Let

$$\mu_d \coloneqq \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{X}^d] \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_d \coloneqq \mathbb{E}[(\mathcal{X} - \mu)^d].$$

The moment-generating function is

$$\sum_{d=0}^{\infty} \mu_d \frac{t^d}{d!} = \mathbb{E}[e^{t\mathcal{X}}] = \frac{1}{|W|} W^{\mathsf{stat}}(e^t).$$

The *cumulants* κ_d of \mathcal{X} are defined by

$$\sum_{d=1}^\infty \kappa_d rac{t^d}{d!} \coloneqq \log \mathbb{E}[e^{t\mathcal{X}}] = \log rac{1}{|W|} W^{ ext{stat}}(e^t) = \log \sum_{d=0}^\infty \mu_d rac{t^d}{d!}.$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

$$\sum_{d=1}^{\infty} \kappa_d \frac{t^d}{d!} = \log \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} \mu_d \frac{t^d}{d!}.$$

Cumulants are like moments, but are better in almost every way:

◆□ ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 • 의 Q @</p>

$$\sum_{d=1}^{\infty} \kappa_d \frac{t^d}{d!} = \log \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} \mu_d \frac{t^d}{d!}.$$

Cumulants are like moments, but are better in almost every way: (1) (Familiar values) $\kappa_1 = \mu$, $\kappa_2 = \sigma^2$, $\kappa_3 = \alpha_3$.

$$\sum_{d=1}^{\infty} \kappa_d \frac{t^d}{d!} = \log \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} \mu_d \frac{t^d}{d!}.$$

Cumulants are like moments, but are better in almost every way: (1) (Familiar values) $\kappa_1 = \mu$, $\kappa_2 = \sigma^2$, $\kappa_3 = \alpha_3$. (2) (Shift invariance) $\kappa_d^{\mathcal{X}} = \kappa_d^{\mathcal{X}-c}$ for all $d \ge 2$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}$.

$$\sum_{d=1}^{\infty} \kappa_d \frac{t^d}{d!} = \log \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} \mu_d \frac{t^d}{d!}.$$

Cumulants are like moments, but are better in almost every way: (1) (Familiar values) $\kappa_1 = \mu$, $\kappa_2 = \sigma^2$, $\kappa_3 = \alpha_3$. (2) (Shift invariance) $\kappa_d^{\mathcal{X}} = \kappa_d^{\mathcal{X}-c}$ for all $d \ge 2$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}$. (3) (Additivity) $\kappa_d^{\mathcal{X}+\mathcal{Y}} = \kappa_d^{\mathcal{X}} + \kappa_d^{\mathcal{Y}}$ if \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} are independent.

$$\sum_{d=1}^{\infty} \kappa_d \frac{t^d}{d!} = \log \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} \mu_d \frac{t^d}{d!}.$$

Cumulants are like moments, but are better in almost every way: (1) (Familiar values) $\kappa_1 = \mu$, $\kappa_2 = \sigma^2$, $\kappa_3 = \alpha_3$. (2) (Shift invariance) $\kappa_d^{\mathcal{X}} = \kappa_d^{\mathcal{X}-c}$ for all $d \ge 2$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}$. (3) (Additivity) $\kappa_d^{\mathcal{X}+\mathcal{Y}} = \kappa_d^{\mathcal{X}} + \kappa_d^{\mathcal{Y}}$ if \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} are independent. (4) (Homogeneity) $\kappa_d^{c\mathcal{X}} = c^d \kappa_d^{\mathcal{X}}$.

(日) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

$$\sum_{d=1}^{\infty} \kappa_d \frac{t^d}{d!} = \log \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} \mu_d \frac{t^d}{d!}.$$

Cumulants are like moments, but are better in almost every way:
 (1) (Familiar values) κ₁ = μ, κ₂ = σ², κ₃ = α₃.
 (2) (Shift invariance) κ_d^X = κ_d^{X-c} for all d ≥ 2 and c ∈ ℝ.
 (3) (Additivity) κ_d^{X+Y} = κ_d^X + κ_d^Y if X and Y are independent.
 (4) (Homogeneity) κ_d^{cX} = c^dκ_d^X.
 (5) (Polynomiality) μ's, α's, κ's are all polynomials in each other.

(日) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

Example Let $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma)$. Then

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{t\mathcal{N}(\mu,\sigma)}] = \exp(\mu t + \frac{\sigma^2}{2}t^2).$$

Example Let $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma)$. Then

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{t\mathcal{N}(\mu,\sigma)}] = \exp(\mu t + \frac{\sigma^2}{2}t^2).$$

Hence

$$\log \mathbb{E}[e^{t\mathcal{N}(\mu,\sigma)}] = \mu t + rac{\sigma^2}{2}t^2,$$

so $\kappa_1 = \mu, \kappa_2 = \sigma^2, \kappa_d = 0$ for $d \ge 3$.

Example Let $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma)$. Then

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{t\mathcal{N}(\mu,\sigma)}] = \exp(\mu t + \frac{\sigma^2}{2}t^2).$$

Hence

$$\log \mathbb{E}[e^{t\mathcal{N}(\mu,\sigma)}] = \mu t + rac{\sigma^2}{2}t^2,$$

so $\kappa_1 = \mu, \kappa_2 = \sigma^2, \kappa_d = 0$ for $d \ge 3$. Contrast with

$$\alpha_d = \begin{cases} 0 & d \text{ odd} \\ \sigma^d (d-1)!! & d \text{ even.} \end{cases}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Example Let $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma)$. Then

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{t\mathcal{N}(\mu,\sigma)}] = \exp(\mu t + \frac{\sigma^2}{2}t^2).$$

Hence

$$\log \mathbb{E}[e^{t\mathcal{N}(\mu,\sigma)}] = \mu t + \frac{\sigma^2}{2}t^2,$$

so $\kappa_1 = \mu, \kappa_2 = \sigma^2, \kappa_d = 0$ for $d \ge 3$. Contrast with

$$\alpha_d = \begin{cases} 0 & d \text{ odd} \\ \sigma^d (d-1)!! & d \text{ even.} \end{cases}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

 μ_d is even messier!

Cumulants of cyclotomic generating functions

Theorem (Billey–Konvalinka–S. '19, Hwang–Zacharovas '15, Chen–Wang–Wang '08, ...)

Let $B_0 = 1, B_1 = 1/2, B_2 = 1/6, B_3 = 0, B_4 = -1/30, \dots$ be the Bernoulli numbers. Given a cyclotomic generating function with $\beta = 0$,

$$\kappa_d = \frac{B_d}{d} \sum_{k=1}^m (a_k^d - b_k^d)$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Cumulants of cyclotomic generating functions

Theorem (Billey–Konvalinka–S. '19, Hwang–Zacharovas '15, Chen–Wang–Wang '08, ...)

Let $B_0 = 1, B_1 = 1/2, B_2 = 1/6, B_3 = 0, B_4 = -1/30, \dots$ be the Bernoulli numbers. Given a cyclotomic generating function with $\beta = 0$,

$$\kappa_d = \frac{B_d}{d} \sum_{k=1}^{m} (a_k^d - b_k^d)$$

$$\alpha_{d} = \sum_{\substack{\lambda \vdash n \\ even \ parts}} \frac{d!}{z_{\lambda}} \prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\lambda)} \frac{B_{\lambda_{i}}}{\lambda_{i}!} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{m} a_{k}^{\lambda_{i}} - b_{k}^{\lambda_{i}} \right)$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Cumulants of cyclotomic generating functions

Theorem (Billey–Konvalinka–S. '19, Hwang–Zacharovas '15, Chen–Wang–Wang '08, ...)

Let $B_0 = 1, B_1 = 1/2, B_2 = 1/6, B_3 = 0, B_4 = -1/30, \dots$ be the Bernoulli numbers. Given a cyclotomic generating function with $\beta = 0$,

$$\kappa_d = \frac{B_d}{d} \sum_{k=1}^{m} (a_k^d - b_k^d)$$

$$\alpha_{d} = \sum_{\substack{\lambda \vdash n \\ even \ parts}} \frac{d!}{z_{\lambda}} \prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\lambda)} \frac{B_{\lambda_{i}}}{\lambda_{i}!} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{m} a_{k}^{\lambda_{i}} - b_{k}^{\lambda_{i}} \right)$$

$$\mu_{d} = \sum_{\substack{\lambda \vdash n \\ even \ parts \\ or \ singletons}} \frac{d!}{z_{\lambda}} \prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\lambda)} \frac{B_{\lambda_{i}}}{\lambda_{i}!} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{m} a_{k}^{\lambda_{i}} - b_{k}^{\lambda_{i}} \right)$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

maj on SYT(λ) asymptotics

Our classification of limit laws for maj on SYT(λ) involves direct combinatorial estimates of differences ∑_{j=1}ⁿ j^d − ∑_{c∈λ} h_c^d: they are Θ(aft(λ)n^d).

maj on SYT(λ) asymptotics

- Our classification of limit laws for maj on SYT(λ) involves direct combinatorial estimates of differences
 ∑_{j=1}ⁿ j^d − ∑_{c∈λ} h^d_c: they are Θ(aft(λ)n^d).
- Consequently, κ^{X*}_d = Θ(aft(λ)^{1-d/2}) → 0 if aft(λ) → ∞ and d > 2, which agrees with κ^{N(0,1)}_d in the limit. Now apply the method of moments.

(日) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

maj on SYT(λ) asymptotics

- Our classification of limit laws for maj on SYT(λ) involves direct combinatorial estimates of differences
 ∑_{j=1}ⁿ j^d − ∑_{c∈λ} h^d_c: they are Θ(aft(λ)n^d).
- Consequently, κ^{X*}_d = Θ(aft(λ)^{1-d/2}) → 0 if aft(λ) → ∞ and d > 2, which agrees with κ^{N(0,1)}_d in the limit. Now apply the method of moments.
- ► Asymptotic normality is "obvious" when ∑_{j=1}ⁿ j^d dominates, though for small aft(λ), there is enormous cancellation resulting in degenerate cases with Irwin–Hall distributions.

(日) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

Further limit laws

For size on PP(a × b × c), we get asymptotic normality if and only if median{a, b, c} → ∞.

Further limit laws

For size on PP(a × b × c), we get asymptotic normality if and only if median{a, b, c} → ∞. If ab converges and c → ∞, the limit law is *IH*^{*}_{ab}.
- For size on PP(a × b × c), we get asymptotic normality if and only if median{a, b, c} → ∞. If ab converges and c → ∞, the limit law is *IH*^{*}_{ab}.
- For rank on SSYT_{≤m}(λ), we get asymptotic normality in many cases, *IH_M* in others, and *D*^{*} where

$$\mathcal{D} \coloneqq \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} \mathcal{U}[x_i, x_j]$$

in still others.

- For size on PP(a × b × c), we get asymptotic normality if and only if median{a, b, c} → ∞. If ab converges and c → ∞, the limit law is *IH*^{*}_{ab}.
- For rank on SSYT_{≤m}(λ), we get asymptotic normality in many cases, *IH_M* in others, and *D*^{*} where

$$\mathcal{D} \coloneqq \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} \mathcal{U}[x_i, x_j]$$

in still others. No complete classification.

- For size on PP(a × b × c), we get asymptotic normality if and only if median{a, b, c} → ∞. If ab converges and c → ∞, the limit law is *IH*^{*}_{ab}.
- For rank on SSYT_{≤m}(λ), we get asymptotic normality in many cases, *IH_M* in others, and *D*^{*} where

$$\mathcal{D} \coloneqq \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} \mathcal{U}[x_i, x_j]$$

in still others. No complete classification.

▶ For maj on linear extensions of labeled forests, we get asymptotic normality "generically", but we also get D^{*} where

$$\mathcal{D} \coloneqq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{U}[-t_i, t_i]$$

where $t_1 \ge t_2 \ge \cdots \ge 0$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} t_i^2 < \infty$.

- For size on PP(a × b × c), we get asymptotic normality if and only if median{a, b, c} → ∞. If ab converges and c → ∞, the limit law is *IH*^{*}_{ab}.
- For rank on SSYT_{≤m}(λ), we get asymptotic normality in many cases, *IH_M* in others, and *D*^{*} where

$$\mathcal{D} \coloneqq \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} \mathcal{U}[x_i, x_j]$$

in still others. No complete classification.

▶ For maj on linear extensions of labeled forests, we get asymptotic normality "generically", but we also get D^{*} where

$$\mathcal{D}\coloneqq \sum_{i=1}^\infty \mathcal{U}[-t_i,t_i]$$

where $t_1 \ge t_2 \ge \cdots \ge 0$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} t_i^2 < \infty$. Classification is "mostly" complete.

Question

So far, the space of possible continuous limits for cyclotomic generating functions is parameterized by " $\tilde{\ell}^2$ ", namely the space of square-summable countable decreasing sequences $1 = t_1 \ge t_2 \ge \cdots \ge 0$, together with a "point at infinity" for $\Lambda(0, 1)$. Are there mere?

 $\mathcal{N}(0,1)$. Are there more?

Question

So far, the space of possible continuous limits for cyclotomic generating functions is parameterized by " $\tilde{\ell}^2$ ", namely the space of square-summable countable decreasing sequences $1 = t_1 \ge t_2 \ge \cdots \ge 0$, together with a "point at infinity" for $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$. Are there more?

Question

What are necessary and sufficient conditions on the multisets $\{a_1, \ldots, a_m\}$ and $\{b_1, \ldots, b_m\}$ for the resulting rational function to be a cyclotomic generating function? Can we efficiently enumerate them or sample from them?

Question

So far, the space of possible continuous limits for cyclotomic generating functions is parameterized by " $\tilde{\ell}^2$ ", namely the space of square-summable countable decreasing sequences $1 = t_1 \ge t_2 \ge \cdots \ge 0$, together with a "point at infinity" for $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$. Are there more?

Question

What are necessary and sufficient conditions on the multisets $\{a_1, \ldots, a_m\}$ and $\{b_1, \ldots, b_m\}$ for the resulting rational function to be a cyclotomic generating function? Can we efficiently enumerate them or sample from them?

Example

For maj on SYT(λ), the fact that the corresponding rational function is in $\mathbb{Z}[q]$ can be interpreted in terms of ℓ -quotients of λ . Why are the coefficients positive?

Many avenues for further work:

 Analyze coefficients of related generating functions (e.g. principal specializations of Schubert polynomials).

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Many avenues for further work:

 Analyze coefficients of related generating functions (e.g. principal specializations of Schubert polynomials).

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Bivariate analogues (e.g. inv, maj joint distributions)

Many avenues for further work:

 Analyze coefficients of related generating functions (e.g. principal specializations of Schubert polynomials).

- Bivariate analogues (e.g. inv, maj joint distributions)
- Local limit theorems (e.g. for maj on SYT(λ)).

Many avenues for further work:

- Analyze coefficients of related generating functions (e.g. principal specializations of Schubert polynomials).
- Bivariate analogues (e.g. inv, maj joint distributions)
- Local limit theorems (e.g. for maj on SYT(λ)).
- Enumerative properties of the set of cyclotomic generating functions (e.g. limiting unimodal fraction?).

Many avenues for further work:

- Analyze coefficients of related generating functions (e.g. principal specializations of Schubert polynomials).
- Bivariate analogues (e.g. inv, maj joint distributions)
- Local limit theorems (e.g. for maj on $SYT(\lambda)$).
- Enumerative properties of the set of cyclotomic generating functions (e.g. limiting unimodal fraction?).

 Connections to regular sequences and Hilbert series of complete intersections in weighted projective space (e.g. which such Hilbert series are possible?).



$\mathcal{THANKS}!$