

# INTRODUCTION TO $\lambda$ -RINGS AND PLETHYSMS

JOSHUA P. SWANSON

ABSTRACT. These notes were for a talk given in the informal CAT seminar at the University of Washington on January 17th, 2018.

## $\lambda$ -RINGS AND PLETHYSMS

The purpose of this talk is to define and motivate the often-mysterious notion of “plethysm” of symmetric functions.

We begin with  $\lambda$ -rings. Abstractly,  $\lambda$  rings are decategorifications of symmetric monoidal abelian categories. More concretely, let  $R(G)$  be the representation ring over  $\mathbb{C}$  of a finite group  $G$ , or more generally a compact Lie group, whose elements can be thought of as virtual characters. We have correspondences  $+ \leftrightarrow \oplus$  and  $\cdot \leftrightarrow \otimes$ . Given a  $G$ -module  $V$ , we can form a new  $G$ -module  $\Lambda^k V$ , the  $k$ th exterior power of  $V$ . On the level of the representation ring, this corresponds to the map (of sets!)

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda^k: R(G) &\rightarrow R(G) \\ \lambda^k([V]) &:= [\Lambda^k V].\end{aligned}$$

for  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ .

**Definition 1.** A “non-special”  $\lambda$ -ring is a commutative, unital ring  $R$  together with maps of sets  $\lambda^k: R \rightarrow R$  for  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  such that for all  $x, y \in R$

- (i)  $\lambda^0(x) = 1$
- (ii)  $\lambda^1(x) = x$
- (iii)  $\lambda^k(x + y) = \sum_{i+j=k} \lambda^i(x)\lambda^j(y)$ .

(We’ll shortly remove the awkward phrase “non-special” by adding three more conditions.)

**Example 2.** The ring  $R(G)$  satisfies (i) and (ii) trivially. Identity (iii) is a consequence of the natural isomorphism

$$\bigoplus_{i+j=k} (\Lambda^i U) \otimes (\Lambda^j V) \cong \Lambda^k (U \oplus V).$$

**Example 3.** When  $G$  is the trivial group,  $R(G) \cong \mathbb{Z}$  via  $[V] \mapsto \dim V$ . Hence we have a  $\lambda$ -ring structure on  $\mathbb{Z}$  given by

$$\lambda^k(m) = \dim \Lambda^k(\mathbb{C}^m) = \binom{m}{k}.$$

Identity (iii) corresponds to the Vandermonde convolution identity

$$\binom{x+y}{k} = \sum_{i+j=k} \binom{x}{i} \binom{y}{j}.$$

**Example 4.** Let  $G = \mathrm{GL}(\mathbb{C}^m)$  and let  $R$  be the corresponding ring of polynomial representations. Let  $\mathrm{Sym}_m$  be the ring of symmetric polynomials in  $m$  variables over  $\mathbb{Z}$ . We have the usual ring isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} R &\cong \mathrm{Sym}_m \\ [V] &\mapsto p_V(x_1, \dots, x_m) \text{ where} \\ p_V(x_1, \dots, x_m) &:= \mathrm{Tr}_V(\mathrm{diag}(x_1, \dots, x_m)). \end{aligned}$$

For instance,  $\mathbb{C}^m \mapsto x_1 + \dots + x_m = e_1$ . More generally,

$$\Lambda^k \mathbb{C}^m \mapsto e_k(x_1, \dots, x_m)$$

since  $\Lambda^k \mathbb{C}^m$  has a basis indexed by  $k$ -element subsets of any fixed basis of  $\mathbb{C}^m$ . The corresponding  $\lambda$ -ring structure on  $\mathrm{Sym}_m$  hence satisfies

$$(1) \quad \lambda^k(e_1) = e_k.$$

**Example 5.** Let  $\mathrm{Sym} := \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{Sym}_m$  be the usual ring of symmetric functions, which inherits maps  $\lambda^k$  from the  $\mathrm{Sym}_m$  satisfying (1).

**Question 6.** *Is  $\lambda^k$  a ring homomorphism?*

- Condition (iii) shows that while  $\lambda^k$  is not (typically) additive,  $\lambda^k(x+y)$  is determined by  $\lambda^i(x)$ ,  $\lambda^j(y)$  for  $i+j=k$ .
- Similarly, while  $\lambda^k$  is not (typically) multiplicative, when  $R = \mathbb{Z}$  we may clearly write  $\lambda^k(xy)$  in terms of  $\lambda^1(x), \dots, \lambda^k(x), \lambda^1(y), \dots, \lambda^k(y)$ . Similarly,  $\lambda^h(\lambda^k(x))$  can be written in terms of  $\lambda^1(x), \dots, \lambda^{hk}(x)$ .

**Fact 7.** *There are “universal”, unique polynomials  $P_k(x_1, \dots, x_k, y_1, \dots, y_k)$  and  $P_{h,k}(x_1, \dots, x_{hk})$  with integer coefficients such that in the rings  $R(G)$ , we have*

$$[\Lambda^k(U \oplus V)] = P_k([\Lambda^1 U], \dots, [\Lambda^k U], [\Lambda^1 V], \dots, [\Lambda^k V])$$

and

$$[\Lambda^h(\Lambda^k U)] = P_{h,k}([\Lambda^1 U], \dots, [\Lambda^{hk} U]).$$

**Example 8.** In  $R(G)$ , we have

$$\lambda^2(xy) = x^2(\lambda^2 x) + (\lambda^2 x)y^2 - 2(\lambda^2 x)(\lambda^2 y), \quad \lambda^2(\lambda^2(x)) = x(\lambda^3 x) - \lambda^4 x.$$

For instance, the first of these corresponds to the (not-at-all-obvious) isomorphism

$$\Lambda^2(U \otimes V) \oplus 2(\Lambda^2 U \otimes \Lambda^2 V) \cong (U^{\otimes 2} \otimes \Lambda^2 U) \oplus (\Lambda^2 V \otimes V^{\otimes 2}).$$

**Definition 9.** A  $\lambda$ -ring is a commutative, unital ring  $R$  together with maps of sets  $\lambda^k: R \rightarrow R$  for  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  such that for all  $x, y \in R$

- (i)  $\lambda^0(x) = 1$
- (ii)  $\lambda^1(x) = x$
- (iii)  $\lambda^k(x+y) = \sum_{i+j=k} \lambda^i(x)\lambda^j(y)$
- (iv)  $\lambda^k(xy) = P_k(\lambda^1(x), \dots, \lambda^k(x), \lambda^1(y), \dots, \lambda^k(y))$
- (v)  $\lambda^h(\lambda^k(x)) = P_{h,k}(\lambda^1(x), \dots, \lambda^{hk}(x))$
- (vi)  $\lambda^k(1) = 0$  for  $k > 1$ .

**Example 10.**  $R(G)$ ,  $\mathrm{Sym}_m$ , and  $\mathrm{Sym}$  are all  $\lambda$ -rings.

The following fact connects us to plethysms. Note how checking that  $e_1$  is a  $\lambda$ -ring generator of  $\mathrm{Sym}$  entails extensive use of (1) and conditions (iii)-(v).

**Fact 11.**  *$\mathrm{Sym}$  is the free  $\lambda$ -ring on one generator.*

A homomorphism of  $\lambda$ -rings is a ring morphism commuting with the  $\lambda^k$  maps. The above fact can be rephrased as follows.

**Proposition 12.** *Given a  $\lambda$ -ring  $R$ , for every  $x \in R$  there is a unique homomorphism of  $\lambda$ -rings  $\phi_x: \mathrm{Sym} \rightarrow R$  such that  $\phi_x(e_1) = x$ .*

**Definition 13.** For  $g \in \text{Sym}$ , let  $\phi_g: \text{Sym} \rightarrow \text{Sym}$  be the  $\lambda$ -ring homomorphism defined by  $\phi_g(e_1) = g$ . For any  $f \in \text{Sym}$ , the *plethysm of  $g$  and  $f$*  is

$$f[g] := \phi_g(f).$$

Equivalently,  $f[g]$  is can be defined by requiring

$$(2) \quad \phi_{f[g]} = \phi_g \circ \phi_f.$$

Eq. (2) says that plethysm of symmetric functions is (up to contravariance) precisely composition of  $\lambda$ -ring endomorphisms of  $\text{Sym}$ . This explains the quite rare alternate notation  $g \circ f$  for  $f[g]$ ; a much more common alternate notation is  $f \circ g$ , as discussed below. In any case, (2) shows that plethysm is associative in that

$$f[g[h]] = (f[g])[h].$$

Since  $\phi_{e_1} = \text{id}$ , (2) also shows that  $e_1$  is a two-sided identity for plethysm, namely that

$$f[e_1] = e_1[f] = f.$$

**Example 14.** We may now use (1) to identify the operators  $\lambda^k$  in terms of plethysms:

$$(3) \quad \lambda^k(g) = \lambda^k(\phi_g(e_1)) = \phi_g(\lambda^k(e_1)) = \phi_g(e_k) = e_k[g].$$

**Example 15.** For  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ , define maps  $\psi^k: R(G) \rightarrow R(G)$  by declaring that

$$\chi_{\psi^k[V]}(g) = \chi_{[V]}(g^k)$$

for all  $g \in G$  and all  $G$ -modules  $V$ . It is straightforward to verify that  $\psi^k$  is a well-defined ring homomorphism. Likewise we have ring endomorphisms  $\psi^k: \text{Sym}_m \rightarrow \text{Sym}_m$ . Since

$$e_1(x_1, \dots, x_m) = p_{\mathbb{C}^m}(x_1, \dots, x_m) = \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{C}^m}(\text{diag}(x_1, \dots, x_m)),$$

we have

$$\psi^k(e_1) = \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{C}^m}(\text{diag}(x_1, \dots, x_m)^k) = p_{\mathbb{C}^m}(x_1^k, \dots, x_m^k) = e_1(x_1^k, \dots, x_m^k).$$

The corresponding ring endomorphisms  $\psi^k$  on  $\text{Sym}$  thus satisfy

$$\psi^k(e_1) = p_k.$$

**Fact 16.** *The ring endomorphisms  $\psi^k: \text{Sym} \rightarrow \text{Sym}$  just defined are  $\lambda$ -ring endomorphisms, i.e.  $\psi^k \circ \lambda^h = \lambda^h \circ \psi^k$ .*

Consequently,  $\psi^k = \phi_{\psi^k(e_1)} = \phi_{p_k}$ . That is,

$$\psi^k(g) = g[p_k].$$

(In fact,  $p_k[g] = g[p_k]$ .)

**Remark 17.** The operators  $\psi^k$  just defined are typically called Adams operations. They arise in  $K$ -theory, which involves an analogue of the ring  $R(G)$  where  $G$ -modules are replaced by vector bundles over a compact Hausdorff space. Indeed, Grothendieck originally defined  $\lambda$ -rings in the  $K$ -theory context.

We next give the first of two alternate definitions of plethysm.

**Definition 18.** Let  $\beta: \text{GL}(\mathbb{C}^p) \rightarrow \text{GL}(\mathbb{C}^n)$  and  $\alpha: \text{GL}(\mathbb{C}^n) \rightarrow \text{GL}(\mathbb{C}^m)$  be polynomial representations with characters

$$\begin{aligned} g(x_1, \dots, x_p) &= \text{Tr}(\beta(\text{diag}(x_1, \dots, x_p))) \in \text{Sym}_p \\ f(x_1, \dots, x_n) &= \text{Tr}(\alpha(\text{diag}(x_1, \dots, x_n))) \in \text{Sym}_n. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $\alpha\beta: \text{GL}(\mathbb{C}^p) \rightarrow \text{GL}(\mathbb{C}^m)$  is a polynomial representation with character

$$(4) \quad f[g] := \text{Tr}(\alpha\beta(\text{diag}(x_1, \dots, x_p))) \in \text{Sym}_p.$$

**Remark 19.** Definition 18 explains the relatively common alternate notation  $f \circ g$  for  $f[g]$ . To connect Definition 13 and Definition 18, fix  $g \in \text{Sym}_p$  and consider the map  $\text{Sym}_n \rightarrow \text{Sym}_p$  given by  $f \mapsto f[g]$  using (4). This is easily seen to be a ring homomorphism, and in fact it commutes with the  $\lambda^k$ , so is a  $\lambda$ -ring homomorphism. Finish off by taking limits and computing  $e_1 \mapsto g$ .

**Definition 20.** The following is the usual combinatorial definition of plethysm. Write  $g \in \text{Sym}$  as  $g = \sum_{u \in I} u$  where  $I$  is a multiset of monomials. Then for any  $f \in \text{Sym}$ ,

$$f[g] := f(u : u \in I).$$

**Remark 21.** To connect Definition 13 and Definition 20, as before, note that  $f \mapsto f(u : u \in I)$  is a well-defined ring endomorphism of  $\text{Sym}$ . One must check that it commutes with the  $\lambda^k$  maps. Since we clearly have  $e_1 \mapsto \sum_{u \in I} u = g$ , the equivalence of the definitions follows.

**Remark 22.** Further sources:

- <https://ncatlab.org/nlab/show/Lambda-ring> for abstract overview of  $\lambda$ -rings
- <http://www-math.mit.edu/~rstan/transparencies/plethysm.pdf> for some slides from Richard Stanley discussing Definition 18 and Definition 20 above
- <http://www.math.jhu.edu/~jmb/note/adamrept.pdf> for a lovely little note on Adams operations for representations of compact Lie groups
- <http://www.cip.ifi.lmu.de/~grinberg/algebra/lambda.pdf> for many gory details on  $\lambda$ -rings, e.g. constructing  $P_k$  and  $P_{h,k}$ ; highly unpolished and incomplete
- [Ful97][Part II] for a nice summary of representation theory over  $\text{GL}(\mathbb{C}^n)$
- [Mac95][I.8 and I.A] for Macdonald's concise account of plethysm and its connection to symmetric group representation theory
- [Knu73] for a book on  $\lambda$  rings and symmetric functions; somewhat old, apparently not the best regarded, I didn't actually take the time to acquire a copy; written by Donald Knutson, apparently father of Allen Knutson
- [Yau10] for a more modern book on  $\lambda$  rings, though I didn't actually take the time to acquire a copy

#### REFERENCES

- [Ful97] William Fulton. *Young tableaux*, volume 35 of *London Mathematical Society Student Texts*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997. With applications to representation theory and geometry.
- [Knu73] Donald Knutson.  *$\lambda$ -rings and the representation theory of the symmetric group*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 308. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1973.
- [Mac95] I. G. Macdonald. *Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials*. Oxford Mathematical Monographs. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, second edition, 1995. With contributions by A. Zelevinsky, Oxford Science Publications.
- [Yau10] Donald Yau. *Lambda-rings*. World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Hackensack, NJ, 2010.