CYCLIC SIEVING LECTURE NOTES, UW SEMINAR, 11/05/2015

JOSH SWANSON

Basic references:

- Reiner, Stanton, White (2004), "The Cyclic Sieving Phenomenon": the original paper.
- Sagan (2011), "The cyclic sieving phenomenon: a survey": nicely written survey as of a few years ago. We'll more or less go through the first 15 pages.

Outline.

- (1) Motivational example
- (2) Test case—direct proof paradigm
- (3) Representation theory paradigm and example
- (4) Further directions

Example 1. Suppose one day you were playing with a generating function for a statistic on combinatorial objects, say words of content $\{1^2, 2^2\}$ using inversions. These are

1122	1212	2112	\Rightarrow # inversions:	0	1	2
1221	2121			2	3	
2211				4		

The generating function is $1 + q + 2q^2 + q^3 + q^4$. At q = 1 this counts the number of such words. At q = -1? Gives 2. At $q = \pm i$? Gives 0. These are all non-negative integers. Are they counting something?

Cyclic sieving is a remarkably general "explanation scheme":

Definition 2. Let $f(q) \in \mathbb{N}[q]$ and suppose X is a set of size f(1). Let C_n be a cyclic group of order n, and suppose C_n acts on X. Write ω_d for a primitive dth root of unity. If

$$f(\omega_d) = \#\{x \in G : \alpha \cdot x = x\}$$

whenever $\alpha \in C_n$ has order d, then $(X, C_n, f(q))$ exhibits the cyclic sieving phenomenon (CSP).

Note that $f \in \mathbb{N}[q]$ means f is constant on different primitive dth roots of unity.

Example 3. Let $\langle (1234) \rangle \leq S_4$ act on words of content $\{1^2, 2^2\}$ by permuting indexes. Then (1234) has order 4 and fixes no element, and (13)(24) fixes only 2121 and 1212. The identity fixes all six. Hence by direct computation, the above generating function with this action exhibits the cyclic sieving phenomenon.

Our main example is a little different from the motivational one in part because the representation theoretic argument ends up being nicer.

Definition 4. Let

$$[n]_q := 1 + q + \dots + q^{n-1}$$
$$[n]_q! := [n]_q [n-1]_q \dots [1]_q$$
$$\binom{n}{k}_q := \frac{[n]_q!}{[k]_q! [n-k]_q!} \in \mathbb{N}[q]$$

Date: November 7, 2015.

(where the q-binomial coefficient is in $\mathbb{N}[q]$ since it satisfies a Pascal's triangle-like recurrence; at q = 1 it counts the number of k-element subsets of [n], and at prime powers it counts the number of k-dimensional subspaces of \mathbb{F}_q^n). Set

$$\left(\binom{[n]}{k} \right) := \{ M : M \text{ is a } k \text{-element multiset on } [n] \}.$$

For instance, at n = 3, k = 2, this is the set $\{11, 12, 13, 22, 23, 33\}$. By "stars and bars",

$$\#\left(\!\binom{[n]}{k}\!\right) = \binom{n+k-1}{k}$$

Let $\langle \sigma_n := (12 \cdots n) \rangle \subset S_n$ act on this set by permuting values: $(123) \cdot 33 = 11$. As it turns out,

$$\left(\!\begin{pmatrix} 3+2-1\\ 2 \end{pmatrix}\!\right)_q = 1 + q + 2q^2 + q^3 + q^4.$$

(Here n = 3, not 4.) (123) has fixes no element of $\binom{[3]}{2}$, and indeed the polynomial is zero at ω_3 , so we again have the CSP.

Theorem 5. The triple

$$\left(\begin{pmatrix} [n] \\ k \end{pmatrix} \right), \langle 12 \cdots n \rangle, \begin{pmatrix} n+k-1 \\ k \end{pmatrix}_q \right)$$

exhibits the CSP.

We first give a direct, elementary proof, which does not explain why we might expect such behavior to exist in the first place.

Proof. One idea is to explicitly compute both the fixed point set sizes and evaluations of the q-binomial coefficient. For the first, we have:

Lemma 6. Let $g \in S_n$ have disjoint cycle decomposition $g = c_1 \cdots c_t$. Then for $M \in \binom{[n]}{k}$, $g \cdot M = M$ if and only if

$$M = c_{r_1} \coprod \cdots \coprod c_{r_s},$$

where here we view cycles as (multi)sets and the disjoint union adds multiplicities of multisets.

Proof. ...by example: what does (124)(35) fix in $\binom{[5]}{4}$? Only 3355. In general we need multiplicities to be constant on cycles.

Corollary 7. Let $\alpha = \sigma_n^{n/d}$, which has order $d \mid n$. Then

$$\#\left(\binom{[n]}{k}\right)^{\alpha} = \begin{cases} \binom{n/d+k/d-1}{k/d} & \text{if } d \mid k\\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Having identified half of the required equation, what is $\binom{n+k-1}{k}_{a=\omega_d}$? An example is illuminating:

$$\binom{4}{2}_{q=\omega_2} = \frac{[4]_q[3]_q}{[2]_q}\Big|_{q=-1}$$
$$= \frac{0 \cdot 1}{0}$$

so consider

$$\lim_{q \to -1} \frac{[4]_q}{[2]_q} = \lim_{q \to -1} \frac{(1+q) + q^2(1+q)}{1+q} = 2 = \frac{4}{2}.$$

Hence $\binom{4}{2}_{q=\omega_2} = 4/2$. Generalizing this computation quickly yields the same formula as before,

$$\binom{n+k-1}{k}_{q=\omega_d} = \begin{cases} \binom{n/d+k/d-1}{k/d} & \text{if } d \mid k\\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

This is nice, but a bit messy and unenlightening. Why should we even expect fixed point sets to be counted by evaluations of a polynomial at roots of unity?

Definition 8. Let G be a finite group acting on a set X. Let $\mathbb{C}X$ denote the \mathbb{C} -vector space with basis X; G acts on $\mathbb{C}X$ by linear automorphisms. The *character* of this action is $\chi: G \to \mathbb{C}$ given by

$$\chi(g) := \operatorname{Tr}([g] \in \operatorname{GL}(\mathbb{C}X))$$

Remark 9. Viewing [g] in the X basis, [g] is a permutation matrix with fixed points on the main diagonal. Hence

$$\chi(g) = \# X^g,$$

so cyclic sieving really says that our polynomial is encoding these character values at its evaluations.

On the other hand, let $G = C_n$ be a cyclic group. (Now we'll assume some basic representation theory of finite dimensional G-modules over \mathbb{C} .) What are the irreducible C_n -modules? It's abelian, so it has as many 1-dimensional representations as elements, which must be all of them. What are they? We can declare 1 to act as ω_n^j for $j = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1$ on $\mathbb{C} = \mathbb{C}[1]$, written $C^{(j)}$. These are inequivalent since their traces (ω_n^j) are distinct. Hence C_n acting on $\mathbb{C}X$ decomposes as C_n acting on

$$m_0 C^{(0)} \oplus \cdots \oplus m_n C^{(n)}$$

for $m_j \in \mathbb{N}$. A generator in this basis has matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & & \\ & \ddots & & & & \\ & & 1 & & & \\ & & & \ddots & & \\ & & & & \omega_n^{n-1} & \\ & & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & & \omega_n^{n-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

where ω_n^j appears m_j times. It follows that a general element of α of order d has trace

$$\chi(\alpha) = \sum_{j} m_{j} \omega_{n}^{jn/d} = \left. \left(\sum_{j} m_{j} q^{j} \right) \right|_{q=\omega}$$

Remark 10. Hence the CSP happens literally every time we have a cyclic group acting on a finite set if we use $f(q) := \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} m_j q^j$. This f has degree at most n-1, making it in some sense uninteresting—for instance, our motivational example already has degree 4 > 3 = n.

Note that all such f's are equivalent mod $(q^n - 1)$. The "interesting" part of CSP is finding a polynomial which has some intrinsic interest that also happens to exhibit CSP.

It is a fact that the character of a finite dimensional representation of a finite group over \mathbb{C} determines the representation up to equivalence. Hence each CSP has a unique C_n -module giving rise to its polynomial.

Our next goal is to give a representation-theoretic proof of the previous result. We will identify an appropriate C_n -module and evaluate the character cleverly, without ever deriving the explicit formulas above.

JOSH SWANSON

Proof. Consider $\mathbb{C}[n]^{\otimes k}$, the k-fold tensor product of the n-dimensional \mathbb{C} -vector space $\mathbb{C}[n]$, which has basis

$$\{i_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes i_k : i_j \in [n]\}$$

Let $\operatorname{Sym}_k(n)$ denote the k-th symmetric power of $\mathbb{C}[n]$, namely

$$\operatorname{Sym}_{k}(n) := \frac{\mathbb{C}[n]^{\otimes k}}{i_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes i_{k} = i_{u(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes i_{u(k)}} \qquad \forall u \in S_{k}$$

which has basis

$$\{[i_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes i_k] : 1 \le i_1 \le \cdots \le i_k \le n\},\$$

which is naturally indexed by $\binom{[n]}{k}$. (We'll now write $i_1 \cdots i_k$ instead of $[i_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes i_k]$.) Now, $\langle (12 \cdots n) \rangle$ acts on $\mathbb{C}[n]$ on values, so it acts on $\mathbb{C}[n]^{\otimes k}$ and $\operatorname{Sym}_k(n)$. Indeed, we have an isomorphism of $\langle \sigma_n \rangle$ -modules

$$\operatorname{Sym}_k(n) \cong \mathbb{C}\left(\binom{[n]}{k}\right)$$

so it suffices to consider the character of $\operatorname{Sym}_k(n)$ and in particular to show the character of α of order d is $\binom{n+k-1}{k}_{a=\omega^d}$.

Evidently $\alpha^n = \text{id}$, so the minimal polynomial of $\alpha \in \text{GL}(\mathbb{C}[n])$ divides $x^n - 1$, which has distinct roots, so α is diagonalizable, say with basis $\{b_1, \ldots, b_n\}$. This yields a basis

$$\{b_{i_1}\cdots b_{i_k}: 1\leq i_1\leq \cdots \leq i_k\leq n\}$$

for $\operatorname{Sym}_k(n)$, where b_i has some eigenvalue x_i . Indeed, this yields a basis in which $\alpha \in \operatorname{GL}(\operatorname{Sym}_k(n))$ is diagonal:

$$\alpha \cdot (b_{i_1} \cdots b_{i_k}) = (\alpha \cdot b_{i_1}) \cdots (\alpha \cdot b_{i_k}) = x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_k} (b_{i_1} \cdots b_{i_k})$$

Indeed, this shows

$$\chi(\alpha) = \sum_{1 \le i_1 \le \dots \le i_k \le n} x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_k} =: h_k(x_1, \dots, x_n).$$

(Incidentally, we used multisets instead of subsets since subsets use the kth exterior power and $e_k(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$, which involves keeping track of some negatives.) Indeed, it's easy to see that we may take $(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = (1, q, \ldots, q^{n-1})$ where $q = \omega_d$. Hence the result follows from the following principal specialization:

Lemma 11. If $n \ge 1$ and $k \ge 0$, then

$$h_k(1,q,\ldots,q^{n-1}) = \binom{n+k-1}{k}_q.$$

Proof. One can show both sides satisfy a Pascal's triangle-like recurrence.

We now turn to some more advanced and general examples. We certainly won't have time to define all the terms involved.

Definition 12. Let (W, S) be a finite Coxeter system, let $J \subset S$, take W_J to be the parabolic subgroup generated by S, and let W^J denote the set of minimal length coset representatives of W/W_J . Define

$$W^J(q) := \sum_{w \in W^J} q^{\ell(w)}.$$

(For example, $S_n^{[n-1]-\{k\}}(q) = \binom{n}{k}_q$ and $S_n^{\emptyset}(q) = \sum_{w \in S_n} q^{\ell(w)} = [n]_q! = \sum_{w \in S_n} q^{\max j w}$.)

Theorem 13 (Reiner-Stanton-White). The triple

$$(W/W_J, C, W^J(q))$$

exhibits the CSP (where $C \leq W$ is cyclically generated by a "regular" element, meaning one which, as an element of W viewed as a complex reflection group, contains an eigenvector which is not in any of the reflecting hyperplanes).

Using maximal parabolic subgroups in type A and ignoring the multiset-subset distinction, this is essentially a vast generalization of the theorem we proved above. It has a further complex reflection group generalization:

Definition 14. Let W be a finite complex reflection group with subgroup W'. Let $A = S/S^W_+$ be the coinvariant algebra of W and let $A^{W'}$ denote the W'-invariants of the coinvariant algebra of W. Write $\operatorname{Hilb}(A^{W'};q)$ for the Hilbert series of $A^{W'}$, namely the dimension generating function of the homogeneous components of the graded algebra $A^{W'}$.

Theorem 15 (Springer, 1974). $\mathbb{C}[W]$ and A have natural, isomorphic $W \times C$ -actions, where C is cyclically generated by a regular element.

Ignoring the C piece in the theorem gives a classic result of Borel: the coinvariant algebra is often thought of as a graded analogue of the regular representation. As for the promised generalization, we have:

Theorem 16 (Reiner-Stanton-White). The triple

$$(W/W', C, \operatorname{Hilb}(A^{W'}; q))$$

exhibits the CSP.

For an example of the CSP of a very different flavor, Rhoades proved that the "promotion" action on $SYT((n^m))$ using a q-analogue of the hook length formula,

$$f^{\lambda}(q) := \frac{[n]_q!}{\prod_{(i,j)\in\lambda} [h_{i,j}]_q},$$

exhibits the CSP. In another vein, Sagan-Shareshian-Wachs showed that $\langle (12 \cdots n) \rangle$ acting by conjugation on the subset of S_n consisting of permutations with cycle type λ using the maj – exc generating function exhibits the CSP. In one more direction, one may generalize the notion of cyclic sieving from cyclic groups to abelian groups (\equiv products of cyclic groups) by keeping track of multiple statistics.

(Notes to self: this was maybe 75 minutes; cut out direct proof paradigm.)